UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,180	01/30/2004	Hideki Ishikawa	Q79126	4741
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 02/12/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			OLSEN, KAJ K	
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/12/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/767,180	ISHIKAWA ET AL.
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	KAJ K. OLSEN	1795
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) <u>KAJ K. OLSEN</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Dion Ferguson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>05 February 2008</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)∏ applicant's representativ	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 9</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Beyer.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ N	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representations terms "front end side" and "base end side" with refet that the previous examiner's interpretation of the terms was discussed alternate claim language to potentially read free reached on any particular language.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER	entative and examiner debated a erence to the Beyer teaching. It is correct. Applicant's represe to of the teaching of Beyer, but adments which the examiner agroup of the amendments that wild.)  ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE elast Office action has already	the interpretation of the The examiner maintained Intative and examiner also Into general agreement was Interpretation of the Interp
INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRETATION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBS	TERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	/Kaj K Olsen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1 Examiner's signature, if requi	